

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/822,831 | 04/12/2004 | Wanda Plimmer | 3208 | |
| 26623 | 7590 10/07/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| LEE W. TOWER | | | SAFAVI, MICHAEL | |
| 19 SADDLE ROAD RANCHO PALOS VERDES, CA 90275 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| RANCHO I ALLO VERDES, ON 10215 | | | 3673 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/822,831 | PLIMMER, WANDA | | | | |
| | | Examiner . | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Michael Safavi | 3673 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖾 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A | pril 2004. | | | | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 7) | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) 🔲 . | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in Application | on No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been receive | d in this National | Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | ս (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | • | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | ателі Арріісаціон (РТС | J-1J2) | | | |
| S Patent and Tr | ademark Office | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "22 has been used to designate both "recess" and "top" of front safety ridge (20). To correct this, the examiner suggest changing reference numeral "22" on Fig. 1 which is on the bottom of the figure between reference numerals

Art Unit: 3673

"20" and "42" to --23-- and also inserting reference numeral --23-- on page 9, line 20, of the specification, after the word "top".

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) page 1, line 20, the examiner suggests deleting "Leach" and after "U.S. Patent No. 6,601,252", inserting --to Leach--;
 - (2) page 1, line 24, the examiner suggests deleting "Maulding";
- (3) page 1, line 25, the examine suggests after "6,237,599", inserting --to Maulding--;
 - (4) page 1, line 28, the examiner suggests deleting "Marcotte";
- (5) page 1, line 29, the examiner suggests after "6,189,169", inserting --to Marcotte--;

Art Unit: 3673

- (6) page 2, line 19, the examiner suggests deleting "Creighton-Young" and after "U.S. Patent No. 5,707,031", inserting --to Creighton-Young--;
- (7) page 2, line 22, the examiner suggests deleting "Little" and "5,707,031" (since 5,707,031 is the patent no. for Creighton-Young and not for Little), and after "U.S. Patent No.", inserting --5,522, 104 to Little--;
 - (8) page 2, line 25, the examiner suggests deleting "Weber";
- (9) page 2, line 26, the examiner suggests after "5,133,098", inserting --to Weber--; and
- (6) page 2, line 29, the examiner suggests deleting "Weber" and after "U.S. Patent No. 5,029,351", inserting --to Weber--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 9, the recitation of "the front retaining wall" lacks antecedent basis as only "multiple retaining walls" have been recited in line 3, but it is not inherent that one of these needs to be a "front retaining wall". The examiner believes Applicant

Art Unit: 3673

meant to recite "the front safety ridge" instead of "the front retaining wall" and will proceed with the examination of this application as if "the front safety ridge" had been recited instead of "the front retaining wall".

Claim 1, line 12, the recitation of "an infant" is confusing and indefinite and should be changed to --the infant-- as is done in lines 6 and 7 of claim 1.

Claim 1, lines 12-13, the two recitations of "the breast shelf" is confusing and indefinite and creates antecedent basis problems because it is not clear if the term is referring back to the first breast shelf, the second breast shelf, or both breast shelves.

Claim 2, line 3, the recitation of "an infant's shoulder and arm" should be changed to --the infant's shoulder and arm--.

Claim 3, lines 3-4, the two recitations of "the breast shelf" is confusing and indefinite and creates antecedent basis problems because it is not clear if the term is referring back to the first breast shelf, the second breast shelf, or both breast shelves.

Claim 4, lines 3-4, the recitation of "an infant's belly curvature" should be changed to --the infant's belly curvature--.

Claim 8, lines 3-4, the recitation of "an infant's belly curvature" should be changed to --the infant's belly curvature--.

Claim 13, line 2, the recitation of "a plurality of pockets" is confusing and indefinite and creates antecedent basis problems because it is not clear if the "plurality of pockets" are the same as or different from the "plurality of pockets" recited in claim 12, line 3 (i.e., if it is a second plurality of pockets, then claim 13 should be amended to recite --a second plurality of pockets--).

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 14, line 2, the recitation of "a plurality of pockets" is confusing and indefinite and creates antecedent basis problems because it is not clear if the "plurality of pockets" are the same as or different from the "plurality of pockets" recited in claim 12, line 3 (i.e., if it is a second plurality of pockets, then claim 13 should be amended to recite --a second plurality of pockets--).

Claim 15, line 19, the recitation of "an opening" is confusing and indefinite and creates antecedent basis problems because it is not clear if the opening is the same opening recited on line 15 of claim 15, or a different opening.

Claim 15, line 21, the recitation of "an infant" is confusing and indefinite and should be changed to --the infant-- as is done in lines 5, 7, 10 of claim 15.

Claim 15, lines 21-22, the two recitations of "the breast shelf" is confusing and indefinite and creates antecedent basis problems because it is not clear if the term is referring back to the first breast shelf, the second breast shelf, or both breast shelves.

Claim 16, lines 4 and 7, the recitation of "an infant's shoulder and arm" should be changed to --the infant's shoulder and arm--.

Claim 17, lines 3 and 4, the recitation of "the breast shelf" is confusing and indefinite and creates antecedent basis problems because it is not clear if the term is referring back to the first breast shelf, the second breast shelf, or both breast shelves.

Claim 20, lines 3-4, the recitation of "an infant's belly curvature" should be changed to --the infant's belly curvature--.

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Dunn</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,387,177).

As to claim 1, <u>Dunn</u> discloses a contoured infant nursing pad (Figs. 1-2) comprising:

a base (12);

multiple retaining walls (14, 16) coupled to (via hook and loop fasteners 36, 38) the base (12) for retaining an infant on the base (12);

a front safety ridge (32) coupled to (via hook and loop fasteners 58, 60) the base (12) for retaining the infant in an optimal nursing position and for preventing a mother from rolling onto the infant; and

a first and second breast shelf (lower portion of 40, 42) located the base (12), each breast shelf (lower portion of 40, 42) on opposite sides of the front safety ridge (32) and located at an opening (24) in the base perimeter between the front safety ridge and (32) one of the multiple retaining walls (14, 16);

wherein an infant placed on the base and facing the breast shelf can access a breast placed on the breast shelf.

As to claim 2, <u>Dunn</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 1 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> further discloses a recess (22) in the base (12) adjacent each breast shelf (lower portion of 40, 42) for accommodating an infant's shoulder and arm.

As to claim 3, <u>Dunn</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 2 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> also discloses that the base (12) further comprises a slightly inclined infant headrest (middle portion of 40, 42) adjacent to the breast shelf (lower portion of 40, 42) for aligning an infant's mouth toward the breast shelf.

As to claim 4, <u>Dunn</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 1 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> also discloses that the front safety ridge (32) comprises a curved transition from a top (68 or 64) of the front safety ridge (32) to the base (12) for accommodating an infant's belly curvature.

As to claim 5, <u>Dunn</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 1 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> also discloses that the base is rectangular.

As to claim 9, <u>Dunn</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad claim 1 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> also discloses that:

the base (12) comprises a flexible elastic material (see col. 6, line 48, wherein it states that base member (12) is "resilient");

the multiple retaining walls (14, 16) comprise the flexible elastic material (see col. 6, lines 50-51, wherein it states that side wall members or side rail means (14, 16) are made of "resilient foam"); and

Art Unit: 3673

the front safety ridge (32) comprises the flexible elastic material (see col. 8, lines 13-14, wherein it states that pillow means (32) is formed from a "resilient foam member").

As to claim 11, <u>Dunn</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 1 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> also discloses a cover (116) over the contoured infant nursing pad for providing comfort and facilitating cleaning.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8, 12-15, 17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dunn</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,387,177) in view of <u>Morton</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,370,715).

As to claim 6, <u>Dunn</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 5 as discussed above.

However, <u>Dunn</u> fails to explicitly disclose that the multiple retaining walls comprise a back support retaining wall on the rectangular base opposite the front safety ridge for keeping the infant from rolling backward off the base.

Morton discloses a contoured infant nursing pad having multiple retaining walls (23, 24, 25), wherein the multiple retaining walls (23, 24, 25) comprise a back support

Art Unit: 3673

retaining wall (25) on the rectangular base (29, 32) opposite the front safety ridge for keeping the infant from rolling backward off the base.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the contoured infant nursing pad of <u>Dunn</u> by including a back support retaining wall as taught by <u>Morton</u> in order to better enclose the infant on the pad to ensure they can roll off the back of the pad.

As to claim 7, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 6 as discussed above, and <u>Morton</u> also discloses that the multiple retaining walls (23, 24, 25) further comprise a first side retaining wall (23) coupled to the back support retaining wall (25) and a second side retaining wall (24) coupled the back support retaining wall (25), the first and second side wall (23, 24) for retaining the infant on the base (12).

As to claim 8, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 5 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> also discloses that the front safety ridge (32) comprises a curved transition from a top (68 or 64) of the front safety ridge to the base (12) for accommodating an infant's belly curvature.

As to claim 12, <u>Dunn</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 11 as discussed above.

However, <u>Dunn</u> fails to explicitly disclose that a plurality of pockets on the cover for holding items within easy reach of a mother using the contoured infant nursing pad.

Art Unit: 3673

Morton discloses a cover (see Figs. 9 and 10) having a plurality of pockets (46 or 49) for holding items within easy reach of a mother using the contoured infant nursing pad.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the contoured infant nursing pad of <u>Dunn</u> by including a cover having a plurality of pockets as taught by <u>Morton</u> in order to conveniently store items a nursing mother might need within easy reach while she is nursing her infant.

As to claim 13, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 12 as discussed above, and <u>Morton</u> also discloses that the cover further comprises a plurality of pockets (46 in Fig. 9 or 49 in Fig. 10) on the cover adjacent to the back support retaining wall for holding items within easy reach of a mother using the contoured infant nursing pad.

As to claim 14, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 12 as discussed above, and <u>Morton</u> also discloses that the cover further comprises a plurality of pockets (46 in Fig. 9 or 49 in Fig. 10) on the cover adjacent to an outer side of at least one of the side retaining walls for holding items within easy reach of a mother using the contoured infant nursing pad.

As to claim 15, <u>Dunn</u> discloses a contoured infant nursing pad comprising: a rectangular base (12);

a front safety ridge (32) coupled to (via hook and loop fasteners 36, 38) the rectangular base (12) for retaining the infant in an optimal nursing position and for preventing a mother from rolling onto the infant;

Art Unit: 3673

a first side retaining wall (14) for retaining the infant on the base (12);

a second side retaining wall (16) opposite the first side retaining wall (14);

a first breast shelf (lower portion of 40) located the base (12), the first breast shelf (lower portion of 40) located a first side of the front safety ridge (32) and located at an opening (24) in the base perimeter between the front safety ridge (32) and the first side retaining wall (14);

a second breast shelf (lower portion of 42) located on the base (12), the second breast shelf (lower portion of 42) located on a second side of the front safety ridge (32) and located at an opening (24) in the base perimeter between the front safety ridge (32) and the second side retaining wall (16);

wherein an infant placed on the base (12) facing the breast shelf (either lower portions of 40, 42) can access a breast placed on the breast shelf.

However, <u>Dunn</u> fails to explicitly disclose: a back support retaining wall on the rectangular base opposite the front safety ridge for keeping the infant from rolling backward off the base; that the first side retaining wall is coupled to the back support retaining wall for retaining the infant on the base; and that the second side retaining wall, opposite the first side retaining wall, is coupled to the back support retaining wall.

Morton discloses a contoured infant nursing pad including a back support retaining wall on the rectangular base opposite the front safety ridge for keeping the infant from rolling backward off the base; first side retaining wall coupled to the back support retaining wall for retaining the infant on the base; and a second side retaining wall opposite the first side retaining wall coupled the back support retaining wall.

Art Unit: 3673

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the contoured infant nursing pad of <u>Dunn</u> by including a back support retaining wall as taught by <u>Morton</u> in order to better enclose the infant on the pad to ensure they can roll off the back of the pad.

As to claim 17, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> discloses the contoured nursing pad of claim 15 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> also discloses that the base (12) further comprises a slightly inclined infant headrest (middle portion of 40, 42) adjacent to the breast shelf (lower portion of 40, 42) for aligning an infant's mouth toward the breast shelf.

As to claim 19, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 15 as discussed above, and Morton also discloses:

a cover over the contoured nursing pad for providing comfort and facilitating cleaning; and

a plurality of pockets (46 in Fig. 9 or 49 in Fig. 10) on the cover.

As to claim 20, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> discloses the contoured nursing pad of claim 15 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> also discloses that the front safety ridge (32) comprises a curved transition from a top (68 or 64) of the front safety ridge (32) to the base (12) accommodating an infant's belly curvature.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

<u>Dunn</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,387,177) in view of <u>Staub et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,341,531).

Art Unit: 3673

As to claim 10, <u>Dunn</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 9 as discussed above.

However, <u>Dunn</u> fails to explicitly disclose that the flexible elastic material comprises polyurethane foam.

<u>Straub et al.</u> disclose a bolster structure for infant side sleeping support which is comprised of flexible elastic material such as polyurethane foam (see col. 2, lines 5-7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention as made to modify the contoured infant nursing pad of <u>Dunn</u> to be made of a polyurethane foam material as taught by <u>Straub et al.</u> in order to provide firm yet resilient support of the infant laid on the pad.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

<u>Dunn</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,387,177) in view of <u>Morton</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,370,715), as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of <u>Schwenker</u> (U.S. Design Patent No. Des. 346,069).

As to claim 16, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 15 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> further discloses a first recess (22) in the base (12) adjacent to the first breast shelf (either lower portion of 40 or lower portion of 42) for accommodating an infant's shoulder and arm.

However, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> fails to explicitly disclose a second recess in the base adjacent the second breast shelf for accommodating an infant's shoulder and arm.

Art Unit: 3673

<u>Schwenker</u> discloses a contoured infant pad having first and second recesses in the base adjacent to and on either side of the front safety ridge.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the contoured infant nursing pad of <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> to have first and second recesses in the base adjacent to and on either side of the front safety ridge as taught by <u>Schwenker</u> in order to allow for placement of an infant in either direction (i.e. with his or her head to the left or right of the front safety ridge) so as to provide easy access to either the left or right breast of the woman nursing the infant.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

<u>Dunn</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,387,177) in view of <u>Morton</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,370,715), as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of <u>Straub et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,341,531).

As to claim 18, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> discloses the contoured infant nursing pad of claim 15 as discussed above, and <u>Dunn</u> also discloses that the base, front safety ridge, back support retaining wall, first side retaining wall, and second retaining wall comprise a resilient foam material.

However, <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> fails to explicitly disclose that the resilient foam material is polyurethane foam.

Art Unit: 3673

Straub et al. disclose that a bolster structure for infant side sleeping support which is comprised of flexible elastic material such as polyurethane foam (see col. 2, lines 5-7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention as made to modify the contoured infant nursing pad of <u>Dunn</u> in view of <u>Morton</u> to be made of a polyurethane foam material as taught by <u>Straub et al.</u> in order to provide firm yet resilient support of the infant laid on the pad.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 6,564,408 to Van Vuuren discloses a pillow for supporting an infant during nursing. U.S. Patent No. 6,763,539 to Bartley et al. discloses a nursing pillow and methods. U.S. Patent No. 5,581,832 to Bridley discloses an infant support. U.S. Patent No. 6,473,923 to Straub discloses a infant positioner for reducing risk of positional plagiocephaly. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0060117 to inflatable body support apparatus. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0181878 to Stelnicki discloses an infant head guard and method of use. U.S. Patent No. 4,733,836 to Barnes discloses a nursing bottle holder. U.S. Patent No. 5,347,669 to Neviaser et al. discloses an infant sleeping position restraint. U.S. Patent No. 5,522,104 to Little discloses a lateral recumbency support pillow. U.S. Patent No. 5,551,109 to Tingley et al. discloses a pillow for holding and feeding an infant. U.S.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,831 Page 17

Art Unit: 3673

Design Patent No. Des. 296,047 to Kucera et al. discloses an accessory seat. U.S.

Design Patent No. Des. 341,736 to McDonald discloses a seat cushion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Safavi whose telephone number is (571)-272-7046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (571)-272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Safavi, Primary Examiner October 1, 2005

CHCHAEL SAFAM PRIMARY EVALUMED ART UNIT CEA